

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLES ISELEY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No. 02 - 2123
)	Judge Alan N. Bloch /
ROBERT BITNER; JEFFREY BEARD;)	Magistrate Judge Lisa Pupo Lenihan
RICHARD ROE; JEFFREY JOE; B. L. LANE;)	
FRANK GILLIS; R. E. JOHNSON; MEDON;)	Doc. No. 137
D. MCMAHON; KENNETH KYLER;)	
J. L. GRACE; R. M. LAWLER; RAYMOND)	
COLLERAN; EDWARD BIESLAWSKI;)	
PAUL DELROSSO; RONALD RICHARD;)	
BOOTH; JOHN DOE; MICHAEL MOE;)	
PETER POE; HARRIET HOE; WILLIAM)	
STICKMAN; DAN DAVIS; SHARON)	
DELETTO; MARK CAPOZZA; KENNETH)	
MILLER; KENT WARMAN; F. BARNES;)	
M. MAHLMEISTER; M. SMITH; D. DAY;)	
B. MARTIN; C. HARRIS; MCCOMBIE;)	
GUMBAREVIC; GUYTON; ESMOND;)	
R. WORKMAN; B. WRIGHT; BLAKE;)	
BRIAN HYDE; JOEL DICKSON; NEAL)	
MECHLING; CAROL SCIRE; DAVID)	
GOOD; DEBRA SAVERS; PAULA TEETER;)	
FRANK NEDWIDEK; CHARLES SHANE;)	
RONALD BLANDFORD; GARRY GALLUCCI;)	
REBECCA KESSLER; SHELLEY MANKEY;)	
and MARLENE STEWART,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

On March 22, 2006 the Plaintiff filed a Third Motion for Recusal for both the District and Magistrate Judge assigned to this case. This Order will address only that portion requesting recusal of the Magistrate Judge.

Plaintiff alleges, *inter alia*, that the Magistrate Judge “conspired” with the District Judge as well as with counsel for Defendant in denying Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction. These allegations are denied in their entirety. As Plaintiff incorporates into this successive motion the allegations in his prior motions requesting recusal, so does this Court incorporate the reasons set forth in its prior orders denying the motions.

Therefore, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** this 23rd day of March, 2006, that Plaintiff’s Motion for Recusal of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 137) is **DENIED**.

In accordance with the Magistrate Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), and Rule 72.1.3(B) of the Local Rules for Magistrate Judges, the parties are allowed ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this Order to file objections to this Order. Any party opposing the objections shall have seven (7) days from the date of service of objections to respond thereto. Failure to file timely objections may constitute a waiver of any appellate rights.

s/Lisa Pupo Lenihan
Lisa Pupo Lenihan
United States Magistrate Judge

cc: CHARLES ISELEY
AM-9320
Box 9999
LaBelle, PA 16698-1000

Mary Lynch Freidline
Office of Attorney General
Fifth Floor, Manor Complex
564 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219